#### **Discussion 1C Slides**

CS 70 Summer 2020

June 26, 2020

CS 70 Summer 2020

Discussion 1C Slides

Line 26, 2020 1/14

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

#### Warm-Up

How many integers *n* divide 12? In other words, how many integers *n* are there such that  $\frac{12}{n}$  is also an integer?

**Solution:** 12, namely n = -12, -6, -4, -3, -2, -1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12.

#### Quick Review

Induction and its many flavors

- Basic idea: use the truth of previous things to prove the truth about successive things
- Vanilla Induction: prove P(0) and prove that  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$  for any n.
- Strong Induction: prove P(0) and prove that  $(P(0) \land P(1) \land \cdots \land P(n)) \implies P(n+1)$  for any n.
- There are some fancier induction techniques than these two, but all induction techniques make (in some form) the chain below:

$$P(0) \implies P(1) \implies P(2) \implies P(3) \implies P(4) \implies \cdots$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Divisibility Induction (Dis 1C Problem 1)

Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \ge 1$ , the number  $n^3 - n$  is divisible by 3. (**Hint**: recall the binomial expansion  $(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$ )

**Solution:** Vanilla Induction. We note that  $1^3 - 1 = 0$  is divisible by 3, so the base case n = 1 holds. Now assume the statement holds for n = k arbitrary. Then observe that for n = k + 1, we have that

$$(k+1)^3 - (k+1) = (k^3 - k) + 3(k^2 + k),$$

where the first term is divisible by 3 by the inductive hypothesis and the second is always a multiple of 3. Hence the statement holds for n = k + 1, completing the induction.

**Note:** A quicker way to prove this is to note that for any n, we have the factorization  $n^3 - n = (n - 1)(n)(n + 1)$  and that no matter what n is, since these terms are three consecutive integers, one of them must be divisible by 3.

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Make it Stronger (Disc 1C Problem 2)

Let  $x \ge 1$  be a real number. Use induction to prove that for all positive integers *n*, all of the entries in the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n$$

are  $\leq xn$ . (**Hint:** Try writing out the first few powers and see if you can prove something stronger)

### Make it Stronger (Disc 1C Problem 2)

Solution: Writing out the first few powers, we see a pattern:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So the key idea here is to strengthen the inductive hypothesis: instead of proving that each entry is  $\leq nx$ , we can instead prove the stronger statement that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & nx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Make it Stronger (Disc 1C Problem 2)

Clearly, this claim holds for n = 1, so it remains to prove the inductive step. Assume this claim holds for n = k. Then we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & kx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (k+1)x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

as desired.

# Binary Numbers (Dis 1C Problem 3)

Prove that every positive integer n can be written in binary. In other words, prove that we can write

$$n = c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + c_1 \cdot 2^1 + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c_k \in \{0, 1\}$ . (**Hint:** Strong Induction)

#### Binary Numbers (Dis 1C Problem 3)

**Solution:** We can write  $n = 1 = 1 \cdot 2^0$ , so this establishes the base case n = 1. Now assume that we can write every integer m with  $1 \le m \le j$  in binary for j arbitrary. Then we proceed by casework on the parity of j + 1. If j + 1 is even, then  $\frac{j+1}{2}$  is an integer, so since  $1 \le (j + 1)/2 \le j$ , it has a valid binary representation

$$\frac{j+1}{2} = c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

SO

$$j+1 = c_k \cdot 2^{k+1} + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^k + \dots + c_0 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^0,$$

hence j + 1 has a valid binary representation.

# Binary Numbers (Dis 1C Problem 3)

Now, if j + 1 is odd, then j is even, so  $\frac{j}{2}$  is an integer, and hence since  $1 \le \frac{j}{2} \le j$ , it has a valid binary representation

$$\frac{J}{2} = c_k \cdot 2^k + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^{k-1} + \dots + c_0 \cdot 2^0,$$

so it follows that

$$j+1 = c_k \cdot 2^{k+1} + c_{k-1} \cdot 2^k + \dots + c_0 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0,$$

which is again a valid binary representation of j + 1. These cases are exhaustive, so we have proved the claim.

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ . In this problem, we will prove, using the WOP, that there exists unique integers q, r such that  $0 \leq r < |b|$  and a = qb + r. Here, q is called the *quotient* and r is called the *remainder*.

- (a) Let  $A = \{a qb | q \in \mathbb{Z} \land a qb \ge 0\}$ . Show that A is non-empty (keep in mind that we must consider the case where a is negative).
- (b) Use the WOP to show that there exists  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qb + r, and  $0 \le r < |b|$ .
- (c) Show that the q and r from part b are unique.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

(a) Let  $A = \{a - qb | q \in \mathbb{Z} \land a - qb \ge 0\}$ . Show that A is non-empty (keep in mind that we must consider the case where a is negative).

**Solution:** If  $a \ge 0$ , then we can let q = 0. Then  $a - qb = a \ge 0$ , so A has an element. If a < 0, then we can let q = ab. Then  $a - qb = a(1 - b^2)$ . Since b is a nonzero integer,  $b^2 \ge 1$ , so  $1 - b^2 \le 0$ , thus since a < 0, the product  $a(1 - b^2)$  is nonnegative, hence A has an element in this case as well. This is exhaustive, so we're done.

(b) Use the WOP to show that there exists  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qb + r, and  $0 \le r < |b|$ .

**Solution:** Since A is a nonempty set of nonnegative integers, it has a smallest element, hence there exists a q such that a - qb is minimal. Now if  $r = a - qb \ge |b|$ , then one of q' = q - 1 or q' = q + 1 (depending on the sign of b) will generate  $a - q'b = r - |b| \ge 0$ , which contradicts the minimality of r. Thus,  $0 \le r < |b|$ , as desired.

(c) Show that the q and r from part b are unique.

**Solution:** Suppose that there were two pairs q, r and q', r' such that a = qb + r = q'b + r'. Then we have that (q - q')b = r' - r. This means that q - q' = 0 or r' - r is divisible by b. In the first case, this means that q' = q so r = r', and in the second, it means that r' = r, so again q' = q. Thus, they must be the same pair, and therefore q, r are unique.